REMARKS

Claim 2 has been amended. Claims 1, and 3-12 have been canceled without prejudice.

Claim 2 remains in the application. The application has been placed in condition for allowance.

Reexamination and reconsideration of the application as amended are respectfully requested. The Examiner's comments are shown in bold.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being unpatentable over Berger in view of Ward.

The Applicant has canceled Claims 1, 3 and 6-8 without prejudice.

Claims 4, 5 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger in view of Ward as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of either Hodge or Danforth.

The Applicant has canceled Claims 4, 5 and 9-12 without prejudice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Applicant has amended Claim 2 to include all the limitations of canceled Claim 1, and allowance is therefore requested.

Form PTO-948 was not included in the Office Action, therefore the Applicant assumes that the drawings are acceptable as submitted.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of all the claims remaining in the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Ted Masters Reg. 36,209

23344 8th St

Newhall, CA 91321

(661) 255-2333